



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,255	09/15/2003	William F. Courtney	12489US02	2407	
23446	7590 10/03/2006	006 EXAMINER			
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			VUONG, QUOCHIEN B		
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	L 60661		2618		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,255	COURTNEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quochien B. Vuong	2618	

	Quochien B. Vuong	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection in the second section is the second	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	:	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 51,55,58,61,64,66 and 70. Claim(s) rejected: 50,52-54,56,57,59,60,62,63,65 and 67-Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 50, Applicant argues that Black et al. fail to teach "uplink bandwidth is dynamically and asymmetrically assigned between a plurality of user terminals and a gateway". The examiner, however, does not agree with the Applicant. Applicant's attention is directed to Black et al. (column 7, lines 34-50; column 20, line 40 - column 21, line 3; and column 21, lines 41-60) which clearly teaches uplink bandwidth is dynamically and asymmetrically assigned between a plurality of user terminal and a gateway since the allocation of the uplink bandwidth are dynamically controlled to improve capacity utilization efficiency and the beam flexibility to match both temporal and spatial variations in user trafic density.

Mun In always 9/27/06 QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER